Affirmative Action Plan
for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and
Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies’ affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with reportable and targeted disabilities in the federal government.

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) Answer: No
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD) Answer: No

   No there are no triggers for Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 PWD or GS-11 to SES PWD. GS-1 to GS-10 PWD is at 27% and GS-11 to SES PWTD is at 38%.

* For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD) Answer: Yes
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) Answer: No

   Of the 7 PWTD, only one of them belonged to the GS-1 to GS-10 grade level cluster. This number may improve when the agency is released from a hiring freeze.

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

   The DMA manager has regular meetings with the Chief Capital Officer and his team. The agency has established a Disability Recruitment Committee, where goals are reviewed and discussed with key managers.

Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and
oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

   Answer: Yes

   Yes the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period.

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency’s disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Program Task</th>
<th># of FTE Staff by Employment Status</th>
<th>Responsible Official (Name, Title, Office, Email)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Part Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing applications from PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 508 Compliance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Barriers Act Compliance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If “yes”, describe the training(s) that disability program staff have received. If “no”, describe the training(s) planned for the upcoming year.

Answer: Yes

Yes. The disability program staff received training September 14-18, 2015 at DEOMI, Patrick AFB FL. The staff will have refresher training in 2018.

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer: Yes

Yes, the agency has sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period.

Section III: Program Deficiencies in the Disability Program

The agency has not reported any program deficiencies involving the disability program.

Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency’s recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD.

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICANTS WITH DISABILITIES
1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

The agency uses USAJobs and Schedule A to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency’s use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce.

The agency uses USAJobs to recruit all applicants. An applicant can also apply to the vacancy via Schedule A through USAJobs.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority and (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

The applicant can apply to the vacancy under a hiring authority via USA Jobs. The application is then forwarded to Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) who makes the determination of eligibility for special hiring authority. Next, forwards the application to the Chief Human Capital Officer and his team who submits the applicant’s information to the hiring official with the other qualified applicants for consideration. The hiring official then determines if/when the applicant may be appointed.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If “yes”, describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to provide this training.

Answer: Yes

Reasonable Accommodation training was provided to all employees during the agency’s annual EEO training. The Computer Electronics Accommodations (CAP) and the United States Access Board provided awareness training to the agency.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency’s efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

The agency established a connection with Gallaudet University and is working to establish partnerships with other institutions.

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)
1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.
   a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD)   Answer: No
   b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD)   Answer: No

   No there are not any triggers among the new hires in the permanent workforce. 31% of the new hires were identified as PWD. Although there are no PWTD new hires, 3% of the new hires did not identify if they did or did not have a disability.

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.
   a. New Hires for MCO (PWD)   Answer: No
   b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD)   Answer: No

   This area is not tracked/monitored.

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.
   a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD)   Answer: No
   b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD)   Answer: No

   This area is not tracked/monitored.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.
   a. Promotions for MCO (PWD)   Answer: No
   b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD)   Answer: No

   This area is not tracked/monitored.

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN
Describe the agency’s plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

All employees have the same opportunities for advancement. All employees complete an Individual Development Plan, which they discuss their current and future goals. This plan includes the training required to attain the goal(s) and the anticipated time it will take for the employee to achieve the goal(s).

**B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES**

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

Programs offered that encourage advancement include the Emerging Leaders Program, internship programs, and a mentoring program.

2. Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
   b. Selections (PWD) Answer: No

No, triggers do not exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs.

3. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs identified? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. Applicants (PWTD) Answer: Yes
   b. Selections (PWTD) Answer: Yes

For the agency’s career development program, out of the 39 applicants/selections, only 7 were identified as PWD.

**C. AWARDS**
1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD)  
      Answer: No
   b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD)  
      Answer: No

   PWD were well represented in all awards, bonuses, and incentives. 85.7% of PWTD received cash awards $500+.

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance-based pay increases? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Pay Increases (PWD)  
      Answer: No
   b. Pay Increases (PWTD)  
      Answer: No

   Quality step increases were not given during FY 2017.

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If "yes", describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.
   a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD)  
      Answer: N/A
   b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD)  
      Answer: N/A

   The agency has no other categories of employee recognition programs.

D. PROMOTIONS

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. SES
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  
         Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  
         Answer: No
   b. Grade GS-15
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  
         Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  
         Answer: No
   c. Grade GS-14
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  
         Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  
         Answer: No
d. Grade GS-13
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  Answer: No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  Answer: No

Relevant applicant pool information is not tracked, monitored or maintained.

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. SES
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  Answer: No
   b. Grade GS-15
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  Answer: No
   c. Grade GS-14
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  Answer: No
   d. Grade GS-13
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  Answer: No

Relevant applicant pool information is not tracked, monitored or maintained.

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. New Hires to SES (PWD)  Answer: No
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD)  Answer: No
   c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD)  Answer: No
   d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD)  Answer: No

Relevant applicant pool information is not tracked, monitored or maintained.
4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. New Hires to SES (PWTD) Answer: No
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD) Answer: No
   c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD) Answer: No
   d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD) Answer: No

Relevant applicant pool information is not tracked, monitored or maintained.

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. Executives
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer: No

   b. Managers
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer: No

   c. Supervisors
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer: No

No
Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be a model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace personal assistance services.
A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If "no", please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

Answer: N/A

DMA did not have any employees who fit this criteria in FY 2017.

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

   a. Voluntary Separations (PWD)  
      Answer: No

   b. Involuntary Separations (PWD)  
      Answer: No

No

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

   a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD)  
      Answer: No

   b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD)  
      Answer: No

No

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

N/A

B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES
Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform job applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b)), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151 – 4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

www.dma.mil.

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

www.dma.mil.

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

None

C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

The average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period is 15 calendar days.

2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency's reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

Reasonable accommodations are usually approved within five calendar days of the requests. The agency did not disapprove any reasonable accommodation requests this fiscal year. The average time for an employee to receive the requested accommodation is 15 to 30 calendar days for items which must be ordered. If the accommodation does not have to be ordered, i.e. telework, the average time for an employee to receive the accommodation is 10 calendar days. Training on reasonable accommodations is provided to each new employee upon arrival to DMA and provided to employees every two years. The next training is scheduled for December 2018.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE
Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

The agency has not had any requests to provide personal assistance services. However, the policy is in the agency’s operating instruction. The PAS process is briefed in the agency’s Newcomer’s Orientation and will be included in future reasonable accommodation training sessions.

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the government-wide average?
   
   Answer: No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?
   
   Answer: No

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.
   
   No, the agency did not have any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status.

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?
   
   Answer: No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?
   
   Answer: No

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.
   
   No, the agency did not have any complaints alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation.
Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?
   
   Answer: Yes

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

   Answer: Yes

3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trigger 1</th>
<th>Less than anticipated participation rates for individuals with targeted disabilities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barrier(s)</td>
<td>Increase the participation rate of individuals with targeted disabilities to 2% of the DMA workforce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective(s)</td>
<td>Performance Standards Address the Plan? (Yes or No)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Official(s)</td>
<td>Agency Director, CHCO, EEO Director, Component Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier Analysis Process Completed? (Yes or No)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier(s) Identified? (Yes or No)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of Data</th>
<th>Sources Reviewed? (Yes or No)</th>
<th>Identify Information Collected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Data Tables</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DMA workforce data tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaint Data (Trends)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>EEOC Form 462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grievance Data (Trends)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings from Decisions (e.g., EEO, Grievance, MSPB, Anti-Harassment Processes)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Assessment Survey (e.g., FEVS)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DEOMI Organizational Climate Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Interview Data</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Groups</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports (e.g., Congress, EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

N/A. The planned activity was met to establish a Disability Recruitment Committee within the agency.

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

The committee reviewed the barriers and it was decided to partner with external outreach programs to increase the hiring of individuals with targeted disabilities once the hiring freeze has been lifted.

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

N/A